Tohoku University Research Center for Policy Design Discussion Paper

TUPD-2024-016

Regional Computable General Equilibrium Model of Japan and the Global Economy

Ken Itakura

Graduate School of Economics, Nagoya City University Graduate School of Economics and Management, Tohoku University

Tomohiro Iwamoto

Faculty of Economics, Nagoya Gakuin University

December 2024

TUPD Discussion Papers can be downloaded from:

https://www2.econ.tohoku.ac.jp/~PDesign/dp.html

Discussion Papers are a series of manuscripts in their draft form and are circulated for discussion and comment purposes. Therefore, Discussion Papers cannot be reproduced or distributed without the written consent of the authors.

Regional Computable General Equilibrium Model of Japan and the Global Economy[∗]

Ken Itakura† Tomohiro Iwamoto‡

December 16, 2024

Abstract

There has been growing interest in sub-national economic impact of mega-FTAs (Free Trade Agreements). Our aim is to explore the linkages between sub-national regions in a country and the global economy. We incorporates sub-national regions (prefectures) in Japan to a global computable general equilibrium (CGE) model. Prefectures are introduced to the Global Trade Analysis Project (GTAP) database (Aguiar et al., 2019), and the comparative static GTAP model (Hertel, 1997; McDougall, 2003; Corong et al., 2017). Input-Output (IO) table from each prefecture is used to database construction. In the modified model, domestic inflows and outflows of goods and services in Japan are introduced. To illustrate sub-national impact of mega-FTA, we experiment a set of trade liberalization scenarios. Results reveals that all prefectures in Japan gains from the liberalized trade in terms of the positive impact on economic welfare. In contrast, results on real gross regional product (GRP) of prefecture are mixed in effect where some prefectures gain and some lose. As the parameter value of substitution of domestic trade among prefectures increases, the real GDP in Japan tends to decrease while economic welfare slightly increases.

[∗]We acknowledge funding from JSPS KAKENHI Grant No.22K01464, and the Environment Research and Technology Development Fund (JPMEERF20S11821) of the Environmental Restoration and Conservation Agency of Japan. We also acknowledge Kazuhiko Oyamada, Shiro Takeda, and the session participants of the 10th Spring Meeting and the 80th annual meeting of the Japan Society of International Economics (2021), and of the 25th Annual Conference on Global Economic Analysis for useful comments.

[†]Graduate School of Economics, Nagoya City University, 1 Yamanohata, Mizuho, Nagoya, Aichi, 467- 8501, Japan (e-mail: itakura@econ.nagoya-cu.ac.jp).

[‡]Faculty of Economics, Nagoya Gakuin University

1 Introduction

There has been growing interest in sub-national regional economic impacts of mega-FTAs (Free Trade Agreements), such as the Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP) and the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP). Our aim in this study is to explore the linkages between all forty-seven prefectures in Japan and the global economy, by incorporating the sub-regions of Japan into a global computable general equilibrium (CGE) model of international trade. We take all prefectures in Japan as a set of sub-regions to be introduced to the Global Trade Analysis Project (GTAP) database (Aguiar et al., 2019), and we modify the database and the comparative static GTAP model (Hertel, 1997; McDougall, 2003) to accommodate this alternation¹. Input-Output (IO) tables from prefectures (Table A1 and Japan (Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications, 2015) provide a starting point for our modification process. Industrial sectors from these IO tables are aggregated to match the sector classification obtained from the GTAP database. The IO linkages between production, international trade, and consumption are split by distinguishing prefectures. In the modified model, we add a new module of domestic inflows and outflows of goods and services within Japan. To illustrate the sub-national regional impacts of national trade policy, we experiment with a set of trade liberalization scenarios of RCEP.

The introduction of sub-national regions into a CGE model has strands of literature and a wide variety of applications (McGregor et al., 2010), and multi-regional IO tables within a country have been utilized as fundamental data inputs for constructing regional CGE models. For example, the Centre of Policy Studies (CoPS), Victoria University in Australia, has pioneered the development of regional CGE models, such as The Enormous Regional Model (TERM) which has been applied to Australia, USA, China, and other countries (Wittwer, 2012, 2017; Horridge and Wittwer, 2008)². In Japan, there exist only a few studies that developed regional CGE models based on multi-regional IO tables (Ban, 2007; Takeda and Ban, 2008; Shirai et al., 2011; Bunditsakulchai and Taguchi, 2011). One of the reasons behind the scarcity of existing studies in Japan is that the public release of multi-regional IO tables in Japan was discontinued since the 2005 multi-regional IO tables published in 2010. This study contributes to circumventing this lack of recent multi-

¹This can be applied to the latest GTAP model version 7 (Corong et al., 2017).

²Homepage of the CoPs provides a number of regional CGE models; https://www.copsmodels.com/regmods.htm

regional IO tables. Our modeling approach can be applied to any prefecture with IO tables. All 47 prefectures in Japan published their own IO tables for 2011, and for the latest IO tables of 2015, most prefectures have made it publicly available. This study also extends a global CGE modeling by embedding sub-national regions into the global economy. Our approach is to focus on one country in the global CGE model and to break down the country into sub-national regions while keeping the other countries intact. One advantage in our approach is to relax the small country assumption on which regional CGE models of a single-country framework often rely, and thereby the prices of goods and services are determined in the world markets.

Among the national policies affecting its sub-national regions, we take one recent mega-FTA such as the RCEP as our simulation example to study in this paper. Potentially, there exist many application areas of our global CGE model with sub-national regional structure to explore the nexus between the global, national, and regional effects. In the context of climate change, a national policy to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions may differently affect sub-national regions. For the issues relating to aging society, differences in the growth rate of age cohorts may have diverse impacts on regions as well as countries.

There remain several caveats in this study which are left for further improvements and future projects. First, international trade data in all prefectures in Japan do not have bilateral trade information about partner countries. We adopt bilateral trade share taken from the country level by sector and impose them onto the prefectures. Secondly, domestic trade flows between prefectures are estimated based on partial information. Some prefectures do not report inflows and outflows of goods and services, and we apply average shares from available prefectures. Bilateral domestic trade by sector and by partner prefectures are computed based on estimates from a simple Gravity-RAS method which can be improved with more information. Thirdly, under the current setting of the model, factors of production are not allowed to endogenously move across national borders or prefectural borders. Movements of factors of production can be addressed with model extension towards a dynamic framework instead of the comparative static analysis used in this study. Lastly, the trade liberalization simulated in this study is meant to investigate our model's behavior, not to reflect the reality of the RCEP trade agreement. This is also the case with the limited number of foreign regions in the model.

In the next section, we overview the database for forty-seven prefectures in the global economy. Our extension of the domestic trade module in the global CGE model is explained in the section 3. Experimental simulation of the RCEP agreement, an example of megaFTAs, with the modified model is conducted and reports results in the section 4, and a summary follows.

2 Data on Sub-national Regions in Japan

The Global Trade Analysis Project (GTAP) database (Aguiar et al., 2019) records 141 regions in the world with a balanced data set on production, trade, and consumption with tax/subsidy details. In this study, we aim to split Japan in the GTAP database into sub-national regions, i.e. forty-seven prefectures. We apply Input-Output tables from all prefectures in Japan, and Japan as a whole (Table A1) to compute weights for the split. We aggregate the IO tables and the GTAP database to 39 industrial sectors by identifying the common sector classification (Itakura and Iwamoto, 2021), as listed in Table 1. Thus, each prefecture's sector classification is mapped to the 39 sectors, and the 190 sectors in the Japanese IO table (Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications, 2015) are similarly aggregated to the 39 sectors. We chose the benchmark year of 2011 for the fact that all prefectures in Japan have published their IO tables for that year.

Aggregation results on GDP, export, import, and domestic trade of inflows and outflows are summarized in Table 3. The GTAP database stores the data on 141 regions in the world, including Japan which has 47 prefectures. The largest regional GDP (or GRP, Gross Regional Product) in Japan is Tokyo while the smallest is Tottori prefecture. The largest economy in the world by GDP in 2011 is the United States. Aichi prefecture and China are the biggest exporters, in Japan and the world respectively. Tokyo and the United States are the largest importers. Kochi prefecture is the smallest in exports and imports.

Prefectures exchange goods and services with each other within Japan, and this domestic trade is not covered in the GTAP database. Therefore, we need to extend the GTAP database with the domestic trade data of Japan. To estimate the data array of outflows (domestic export) and inflows (domestic import) by sector and by prefecture, we apply a simple RAS method and a Gravity-RAS method. A simple RAS method takes equally weighted data array as an initial value for the iterations with the control totals of outflow and inflow. The gravity-RAS method uses the initial value of the weights estimated by a Gravity equation with the control totals. Most prefectures report the outflow total and inflow total by sector, but some prefectures report the aggregates of outflow and export or the aggregate of inflow and import. For the missing prefecture, we apply the share of outflow (inflow) over export (import) to obtain the totals and make sure that in Japan sum

No.	39 Sectors	GTAP 65 sec	No.	39 Sectors	GTAP 65 Sec-
		tors			tors
1	Agriculture	pdr, wht, gro,	21	Transport equipment	otn
		v_f, osd, $c_{-}b$,			
		pfb, ocr			
$\overline{2}$	Livestocks	ctl . oap, rmk,	22	Other manufacturing	omf
		wol			
3	Forestry	frs	23	Construction	cns
4	Fishing	fsh	24	Electricity and gas	ely, gdt
5	Foods	omt, vol, cmt,	25	Water	wtr
		mil, pcr, sgr,			
		ofd			
6	Beverages and tobacco	b_t	26	Trade	trd
7	Textiles and apparels	tex, wap, lea	27	Finance and insurance	ofi, ins
8	Lumber	lum	28	Real estate	rsa, dwe
9	Paper products	ppp	29	Land transport	otp
10	Chemicals	chm, bph	30	Water transport	wtp
11	Extractions	oil, coa, gas,	31	Air transport	atp
		$\overline{\text{ext}}, \overline{\text{p_c}}$			
12	Rubber and plastics	rpp	32	Warehousing	whs
13	Non-metallic mineral	nmm	33	Communication	cmn
14	Iron and steel	i_s	34	Public services	OSg
15	Non-Ferrous metal	n fm	35	Education	$_{\rm edu}$
16	Fabricated metal	fmp	36	Other business	obs
17	Machinery and equipment	ome	37	Health and social work	hht
18	Computer and electronics	ele	38	Recreation and other	ros
19	Electrical equipment	eeq	39	Accommodation	afs
20	Motorvehicle	mvh			

Table 1: Industrial Sectors

Source: Aggregation based on Itakura and Iwamoto (2021)

	Group	Ν	Max	Mean	Min	SD
GDP	Japan	47	785.0	125.7	25.6	140.2
	World	141	15500.0	515.7	0.2	1603.4
Export	Japan	47	135.9	21.1	2.1	24.1
	World	141	2053.3	146.4	0.1	308.0
Import	Japan	47	100.8	19.7	1.3	21.6
	World	141	2490.5	146.4	0.1	325.0
Outflow	Japan	47	1591.2	225.4	37.6	270.3
	World					
Inflow	Japan	47	1467.3	225.4	41.7	257.9
	World					

Table 2: GDP and Trade of Sub-regions in Japan and the World (2011, bi. US\$)

Source: Authors

of outflows equals the sum of inflows by sector to be balanced. In Table 3, outflow and inflow report each prefecture's totals. Reflecting the size of the economy in Japan, Tokyo trades the most outflows and inflows and Tottori prefecture does the least. Remarkably, the size of domestic inflows and outflows are significantly larger than the international transactions.

For the Gravity-RAS method, we use the 2005 Inter-Regional Input-Output Table (Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry, 2011) for our estimation. It has 53 industrial sectors and 4 final demands for 9 aggregated regions in Japan. Since our aim is to obtain weights, not levels, used as initial values for the subsequent RAS iteration process, this inter-regional IO may be the best available information. We estimate a simple gravity equation with fixed effects of source and destination, using the Poisson pseudo maximum likelihood estimator as follows;

$$
E(D_{ij}) = \exp(\gamma_i + \gamma_j + \beta \ln \text{Dist}_{ij}),\tag{1}
$$

where D_{ij} is bilateral domestic transactions between source i and destination j, Dist_{ij} is bilateral distance between i and j in km, and γ are fixed effects on source and destination.

We use the estimation result with prefectural distance to project the bilateral domestic transactions, and then compute weights which are used as a prior in the subsequent RAS

Dependent Variable:	D_{ij}
Model:	(1)
Variables	$-1.929***$
ln(Dist)	(0.1357)
<i>Fixed-effects</i> Source Destination	Yes Yes
<i>Fit statistics</i> Observations Squared Correlation Pseudo R^2 BIC	238,433 0.38587 0.68396 $2.781e+9$

Table 3: Estimation Result

Clustered (Source & Destination) standard-errors in parentheses Signif. Codes: ***: 0.01, **: 0.05, *: 0.1

Source: Authors

iterations. Improvements can be made to this estimation process with more data points, variables, and controls.

As the domestic inflows and outflows are incorporated into the GTAP database, we redefine the formula and the equation for regional GDP expenditure to include them for the sub-national regions. In the next section, we outline this incorporation in terms of modeling.

3 Demand module of domestic and import goods

We extend the comparative static GTAP model (Hertel, 1997; McDougall, 2003) to accommodate the inflows and the outflows that are assumed to be domestically differentiated by prefecture. This assumption is analogous to the product differentiation by country of origin as known as the Armington assumption (Armington, 1969). The demand structure is depicted in Figure 1. Imports of good i from region r to s, Q_{irs} , are aggregated to import composite, M_{is} , by constant elasticity of substitution (CES) function, and then with domestic composite, D_{is} , to a composite good, X_{is} by CES function. The domestic composite, D_{is} , consists of products from prefecture r to s, D_{irs} . Substitution elasticities defined in these CES functions are σ_i^D for domestic and import composites, and σ_i^M for imports, and σ_i^P for inflows in s. With the extended GTAP database, we implement this demand module in the comparative static GTAP model. Note that this implementation is only applicable to Japan, leaving other countries in the database intact.

Producers (indexed with j) and a representative regional household in region s demand the composite good (X_{is}) , as shown in Figure 2. Producer j uses them as intermediate inputs (X_{ijs}) , and combines them with a value-added composite (VA_{js}) which aggregates skilled and unskilled labor, capital, land, and natural resources, to produce output (O_{is}) by Leontief function. Then, the output is supplied either to domestic markets (D_{irs}) or to foreign markets (Q_{jsr}) . Demands for private consumption (X_{is}^P) and government consumption (X_{is}^G) form sub-utility of the representative regional household, U_s^P and U_s^G respectively, along with the sub-utility from future consumption via savings (U_s^S) , and they determine economic welfare for the region, U_s . Constant difference of elasticities (CDE) function is applied for private consumption, the CES function is for government consumption, and the modified Cobb-Douglas function is used for the overall utility.

Figure 1: Demand Structure

Figure 2: Structure of Production and Consumption

4 Experimental Simulation

Having extended the database and the model, we experiment with a hypothetical simulation of trade liberalization for the RCEP member countries and sub-national regions in Japan. Our aim here is to examine the model behavior of the prefectures in Japan when the import tariffs are eliminated, and trades are facilitated by logistic improvements. We aggregate all countries except Japan in the GTAP database into RCEP³ or the rest of the world (ROW) for simplicity. RCEP is the aggregation of the countries participating in the RCEP agreement except for Japan. ROW is an aggregated region of the rest of the countries and regions in the GTAP database.

Average applied ad valorem tariff rates on merchandise imports are reported in Table 4. Since there are no tariff rates available for services trade (sector 23 to 39) in the GTAP database, we report for the merchandise imports (sector 1 to 22). Given the tariff rates at the national level in Japan, the same rates are applied to all prefectures. Relatively high tariff rates are observed in foods (23.1%) , textile and apparels (9.1%) , and agriculture (8.2%) in Japan. On the other hand, most of the manufacturing imports are subject to almost no tariffs or very low rates. In the RCEP simulation, we assumed that efficiency improvements stemming from trade facilitation on goods and services reduce effective trading cost by 10% for the RCEP as well as prefectures. As these simulation settings are intended for an experiment with the modified GTAP database and model (call it JPN47 for reference), there remains more elaborations for realistic simulation, for example, Lee and Itakura (2018) and Itakura (2022). For our simulation in this study, bilateral import tariffs are eliminated for the RCEP and prefectures, and the effective trade costs are exogenously reduced by 10%. We use the GEMPACK economic modeling software (Horridge et al., 2018) for the simulation as well as the modification of database and model.

 3 RCEP is a group of countries, and they are ten ASEAN member states, Japan, China, Korea, Australia, and New Zealand.

No.	Sector	RCEP	ROW	Japan
$\mathbf 1$	Agriculture	22.2	3.9	8.2
$\overline{2}$	Livestocks	12.3	3.5	4.5
3	Forestry	0.2	1.5	0.1
4	Fishing	7.8	1.7	3.8
5	Foods	10.2	6.9	23.1
6	Beverages and tobacco	18.9	7.6	5.7
7	Textiles and apparels	7.4	7.2	9.1
8	Lumber	1.8	1.7	2.1
9	Paper products	1.8	1.4	0.0
10	Chemicals	3.9	1.7	0.9
11	Extractions	1.0	0.8	0.1
12	Rubber and plastics	6.2	2.7	0.8
13	Non-metallic mineral	7.8	3.8	0.4
14	Iron and steel	3.7	1.8	0.3
15	Non-Ferrous metal	1.3	1.6	0.4
16	Fabricated metal	5.9	3.0	0.5
17	Machinery and equipment	3.7	1.7	0.0
18	Computer and electronics	2.3	1.1	0.0
19	Electrical equipment	5.0	2.4	0.0
20	Motorvehicle	15.8	3.1	0.0
21	Transport equipment	2.4	3.3	0.0
22	Other manufacturing	5.1	2.3	0.6

Table 4: Average Applied Ad Valorem Tariff Rates on Merchandise Imports (%)

Source: Authors based on (Aguiar et al., 2019)

Seven experimental simulations implement the same exogenous shocks with different settings for the model, parameter on substitution elasticity of domestic products (σ_i^P) in Figure 1), and alternative weight data used for the RAS procedures. We also run a simulation with the standard comparative static GTAP model for reference. Then, to compare, we switch the model to the extended GTAP model with sub-national regions of Japan (JPN47), and we alter parameter values and the weight data.

s1: Reference simulation with the standard GTAP model.

- **s2:** JPN47 model with inelastic substitution of the source prefectures $(\sigma_i^P = 0)$.
- **s3:** JPN47 model with unit substitution elasticity $(\sigma_i^P = 1)$, and the domestic trade array estimated by a simple RAS method which uses a prior of equal weights (A prior).
- s4: Same as s3, except for the Gravity-RAS method is used (B prior)
- **s5:** Same as s4, except for $\sigma_i^P = \sigma_i^D$, the substitution elasticity of source prefecture is set equal to the substitution elasticity of import composite and domestic composite.
- **s6:** Same as s4, except for $\sigma_i^P = \sigma_i^M$, which sets the elasticity equal to the substitution elasticity of import sources.
- **s7:** Same as s4, except for $\sigma_i^P = 2 \times \sigma_i^M$, the elasticity is set twice larger than σ_i^M .

Note that all experiments share the same exogenous shocks of tariff removals and logistic improvements. Except for s1 and s3, the domestic trade array is estimated by the Gravity-RAS method.

Results from these experimental simulations on real GDP, regional GDP (or GRP: gross regional product) for prefectures, are reported in Table 5. Reference simulation (1) with the GTAP model shows real GDP increase for the RCEP (2.38%) and Japan (1.1%). Simulation (2) with our extended model (JPN47) with inelastic substitution elasticity produces results very close to the reference simulation (1). This implies that inelastic substitution negates the interplay among the prefectures even when incorporating the sub-regional details into the model, generating the result close to the model without sub-national regions. Once we allow the substitution of domestic sources under the simulations (3) to (7), the real GDP of Japan begins declining as the degree of substitution increases. The parameter values in the GTAP database have following relation;

$$
1<\sigma_i^D=\frac{\sigma_i^M}{2}
$$

Because the results on real GDP are influenced by the substitution for other source prefectures, obtaining realistic estimates on the substitution may have profound importance in policy simulation.

By comparing the simulation (3) with (4), the choice of a prior set of weight values used in a simple RAS method (A prior) or a Gravity-RAS method (B prior) does not affect Japan at the aggregated level. Similarly, there aren't many significant differences between (3) and (4) at the disaggregated level of prefectures.

Model:	GTAP	JPN47					
		$\sigma_i^P=0$				$\sigma_i^P = 1$ $\sigma_i^P = 1$ $\sigma_i^P = \sigma_i^D$ $\sigma_i^P = \sigma_i^M$ $\sigma_i^P = 2\sigma_i^M$	
			A prior				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
RCEP	2.38	2.39	2.39	2.39	2.38	2.37	2.35
ROW	-0.07	-0.07	-0.07	-0.07	-0.07	-0.07	-0.08
Japan	1.10	1.09	1.04	1.04	0.76	0.16	-1.06
Aichi		1.1	0.6	0.6	0.1	-0.1	0.1
Akita		1.1	1.7	1.3	1.4	1.0	-0.3
Aomori		1.1	2.8	2.4	2.9	3.0	$2.7\,$
Chiba		1.2	0.8	0.9	0.3	-0.9	-3.9
Ehime		2.0	2.4	2.4	2.5	2.3	1.6
Fukui		1.1	1.3	1.4	1.7	1.9	2.2
Fukuoka		0.9	$0.3\,$	$\rm 0.2$	-0.3	-0.6	-1.1
Fukushima		0.7	1.4	$1.2\,$	1.1	0.2	-1.8
Gifu		1.1	1.1	1.2	0.8	-0.2	-2.2
Gunma		0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.6	-1.7	-3.8
Hiroshima		1.2	0.9	1.0	0.6	0.4	0.3
Hokkaido		1.0	1.9	1.8	2.2	2.2	1.6
Hyogo		0.8	0.6	0.7	0.5	0.4	0.8
Ibaraki		1.8	1.7	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.4
Ishikawa		0.8	0.8	0.7	0.3	-0.6	-2.1
Iwate		1.2	1.6	1.1	1.0	0.5	-0.8
Kagawa		1.4	1.6	1.7	1.7	$1.6\,$	1.5

Table 5: Impact on Real GDP (%)

continue.

Source: Authors simulation results.

Table 6 reports the impact of RCEP on economic welfare, measured in terms of percent change in utility $(U_s$ in Figure 2). The results confirm that the mega-FTA leads to higher welfare for the RCEP, Japan, and its prefectures. We obtain almost the same results from the standard GTAP model (1) as well as the extended model (JPN47) with inelastic substitution (2). Japanese welfare tends to slightly increase as the substitution elasticity increases from simulation (2) to (7). This contrasts with the results on real GDP.

Table 6: Impact on Welfare (%)

continue.

Source: Authors simulation results.

We experiment with additional simulations to relax the assumption of immobile factors of production. For labor, each prefecture is endowed with skilled and unskilled labor which are mobile between production sectors in that prefecture but immobile across prefectures. What are the wage rates for them if we assume the mobility of labor within Japan? One way to ponder this question, we can use the standard GTAP model to gain some insights. The simulation result (1) reports wage rates of the skilled and the unskilled as 3.0% and 3.1% respectively. By assuming these wage rates to realize when labor can move freely within Japan, we run additional RCEP simulation and obtain the seemingly infeasible result on the real GDP of Japan (11.4%). This is not surprising because the wage rates from the simulation (4) are, on average of prefectures, 7.4% for the skilled labor and 7.1% for the unskilled labor, so that for each prefecture labor becomes available for production activity with less cost (3% against 7%), leading to higher regional GDPs and Japan's GDP. The mobility of labor in Japan needs to be investigated further, as well as capital.

5 Summary

In this study, we incorporated forty-seven prefectures of Japan into a global computable general equilibrium (CGE) model. We treat prefectures as new sub-national regions in the Global Trade Analysis Project (GTAP) database, along with the RCEP region and the rest of the world. Input-Output (IO) tables of prefectures and Japan are aggregated to the 39 sectors to match with the GTAP database. Then, the IO linkages between production, international trade, and consumption are split by prefectures. Corresponding to the database extension, we modify the comparative static GTAP model. In the modified model, we add a new module of domestic trade of inflows and outflows of goods and services within Japan. To illustrate the regional impacts of national trade policy change, we conduct a set of experimental simulations of the RCEP trade liberalization. All prefectures in Japan gain from the freer trade in terms of the positive impact on economic welfare. In contrast, the regional real GDP of prefectures is mixed in effect where some prefectures gain and some lose. As the parameter value of substitution of domestic trade among prefectures increases, real GDP in Japan tends to decrease while economic welfare slightly increases. However, further examination of the simulation settings, the modified database, and the extended model should be warranted.

References

- Aguiar, Angel, Maksym Chepeliev, Erwin Corong, Robert McDougall, and Dominique van der Mensbrugghe (2019), "The GTAP Data Base: Version 10," Journal of Global Economic Analysis, $4(1)$, 1–27, https://jgea.org/ojs/index.php/jgea/article/view/ 77.
- Armington, Paul S. (1969), "A Theory of Demand for Products Distinguished by Place of Production," IMF Staff Papers, 16(1), 159–178.
- Ban, Kanemi (2007), "Development of Multi-regional Dynamic Applied General Equilibrium Model of Japan," RIETI Discussion Papaer, (07-J-043), 1–52, in Japanese.
- Bunditsakulchai, Pongsun and Hiroshi Taguchi (2011), "Spatial CGE Model for Analyzing Impact of Carbon Tax Imposition on Regional Economies of Japan," CRIEPI Report, (Y10030), 1–29.
- Corong, Erwin, Thomas Hertel, Robert McDougall, Marinos Tsigas, and Dominique van der Mensbrugghe (2017), "The Standard GTAP Model, Version 7," Journal of Global Economic Analysis, $2(1)$, $1-119$, https://jgea.org/ojs/index.php/jgea/article/ view/47.
- Hertel, Thomas W., ed. (1997), Global Trade Analysis: Modeling and Applications, Cambridge University Press, New York.
- Horridge, J. Mark and Glyn Wittwer (2008), "The Economic Impacts of a Construction Project, using SinoTERM, a Multi-Regional CGE Model of China," China Economic *Review*, **20**(4), 628–634.
- Horridge, Mark J., Michael Jerie, Dean Mustakinov, and Florian Schiffmann (2018), GEM-PACK manual, GEMPCK Software.
- Itakura, Ken (2022), "Impact of the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP): A Global Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) Simulation," in "Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP): Implications, Challenges, and Future Growth of East Asia and ASEAN," , IFukunari Kimura, Shandre Thangavelu, and Dionisius Narjoko, eds., Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia, Jakarta, chap. 5, 25–46.
- Itakura, Ken and Tomohiro Iwamoto (2021), "Applying Input-Output Table of Aichi Prefecture in Japan to GTAP Data Base," Journal of International and Regional Economics, 21–32, in Japanese.
- Lee, Hiro and Ken Itakura (2018), "The Welfare and Sectoral Adjustment Effects of Megaregional Trade Agreements on ASEAN Countries," Journal of Asian Economics, 55, 20–32.
- McDougall, Robert (2003), "A New Regional Household Demand System for GTAP," GTAP Technical Paper, Purdue University, 20, 1–57.
- McGregor, Peter G., Mark D. Partridge, and Dan S. Rickman (2010), "Innovations in Regional Computable General Equilibrium (CGE) Modelling," Regional Studies, 44(10), 1307–1310.
- Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry (2011), 2005 Inter-Regional Input-Output Table, (accessed Jul. 9, 2021), https://www.meti.go.jp/english/statistics/tyo/ tiikiio/index.html.
- Ministry of Internal Affairs and Communications (2015), 2011 Input-Output Tables for Japan, (accessed Dec. 10, 2020), https://www.soumu.go.jp/english/dgpp_ss/data/ io/io11.htm.
- Shirai, Daichi, Shiro Takeda, and Katsuaki Ochiai (2011), "A Regional CGE Analysis of Greenhouse Gas Emissions Regulations," MPRA Paper, (35273), 1–31, in Japanese.
- Takeda, Shiro and Kanemi Ban (2008), "Regional Disparity in Effect of Trade Liberalization: Applied General Equilibrium Analysis Using Multi-Regional Input-Output Table," RIETI Discussion Papaer, (08-J-053), 1–35, in Japanese.
- Wittwer, Glyn, ed. (2012), *Economic Modeling of Water: The Australian CGE Experience*, Springer Netherlands.
- $-$ (2017), Multi-regional Dynamic General Equilibrium Modeling of the U.S. Economy: USAGE-TERM Development and Applications, Springer.

Appendix A: Input Output Tables, 2011

Table A1: Source of Input Output Table of Prefectures

