
#### Abstract

There is a potential free-rider problem when several siblings consider future provision of care for their elderly parents. Siblings can commit to not providing long-term support by living far away. If location decisions are made by birth order, older siblings may enjoy a first-mover advantage. We study siblings' location decisions relative to their parents by estimating a sequential participation game for US data. We find: (1) limited strategic behavior: in two-child families, more than $92 \%$ of children have a dominant strategy; and (2) a non-negligible public good problem: in families with multiple children, $18.3 \%$ more parents would have had at least one child living nearby had location decisions been made cooperatively.


